

United States District Court, Eastern District of Washington
Magistrate Judge James P. Hutton
Yakima

USA v. DALE H. WEBER

Case No. 4:14-CR-06049-SMJ-1

Arraignment on Indictment:

11/19/2014

- | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Karen White, Courtroom Deputy | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Alison Gregoire, US Atty |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Erica Helms, US Probation / Pretrial Services Officer | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Carl Oreskovich, Defense Atty |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defendant present <input type="checkbox"/> in custody USM <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> out of custody | Interpreter - NOT REQUIRED |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Defendant not present / failed to appear |

-
- | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Rights given | <input type="checkbox"/> Defendant continued detained |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Acknowledgment of Rights filed | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Conditions of release as previously imposed |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defendant received copy of charging document | <input type="checkbox"/> 199C Advice of Penalties/Sanctions filed |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defendant waived reading of charging document | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Discovery Order forthcoming |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Charging document read in open court | |

REMARKS

Defendant appeared and was assisted by counsel and advised of their rights and the allegations contained in the charging document.

"Not guilty" plea entered.

Mr. Oreskovich advised the Court that Defendant was put on administrative leave by his employer due to the required electronic monitoring device and has been notified that he will be terminated by his employer in December if the device is not removed.

The Court ordered:

1. Defendant released on conditions previously established.